SINGLE BENCH

(3)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 3599/2005

PETITIONER :- Dayaram Gurupanch S/o late Agarchand Gurupanch, aged about 45 years, Sub- Engineer Posted at P.H.E. Division, Raipur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 3703/05 Presented by Shri N.K. Bhadwi dated 1/8/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :-

1. The State ofChhattisgarh Theough the Secretary, Department of P.H.E. Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Chief Engineer P.H.E. Raipur (C.G.).
3. The Superintendent Engineer P.H.E. Raipur (C.G.).

1.9.05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTIONOF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT(S) ORDER(S) AND DIRECTION (S) TODO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

XI-HC-22

(23)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3599 **सन्** 2005

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

12.8.2005

Shri M.K.Bhaduri, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State.

I.A.No. 6803/2005

This is an application for urgent hearing. The matter is taken up on Board.

This interim application stands disposed of.

W.P.No.3599/2005

Heard on admission.

The submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is presently working as Sub-Engineer in PHE Division at Raipur. He submits that many junior Sub-Engineers to the petitioner have been given the charge of officiating Assistant Engineer without any basis and the petitioner has been deprived of the above advantage given to his juniors. He further submits that the petitioner is a graduate Sub-Engineer whereas the persons who have been given a chance of officiating Assistant Engineer, are the Diploma holders.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the time being this petition may be disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation before the competent authority and the competent authority may be directed to dispose of the representation within a stipulated period granted by this Court.

, Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State has no objection to the said limited prayer made by the counsel for the petitioner.

This prayer is allowed

(24)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	The petitioner is given a liberty to file a detailed representation within a period of 3 weeks from today before the competent authority and if such a representation is filed, within a period of 3 weeks, the competent authority shall dispose of the same as early as possible preferably within a period of 6 weeks from the date of filing/receipt of the representation by passing a reasoned order in relation to the grievance raised by the petitioner. With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands finally disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P No. 3022/2005 also stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **Sunil Kumar Sinha** **Judge**	

sunita

(पीछे देखिये)